

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

v.

GOOGLE INC.,
Defendant.

**ORDER *IN LIMINE* RE GOOGLE'S
MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3 RE
IRRELEVANT TESTIMONY INTENDED
TO SUGGEST VIOLATION OF
PRIVACY OR ANTITRUST LAWS**

Google's motion *in limine* to preclude Oracle from introducing evidence or argument intended to suggest that Google is violating the jurors' privacy rights, privacy laws, and antitrust laws (Dkt. 1558) is **DENIED** except as to any references to "cookies," "monopoly," or "monopolization." Although Google does not dispute that the use was for a commercial use, the extent of the commercial use is still in play. Evidence regarding Google's business practices is relevant to show the extent of commercialism and to meet Google's point that Google gives Android away for free.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2016.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28